UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE: Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)

CIRCUIT CITY STORES 701 East Broad Street

INC., Richmond, VA 23219

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP

By: IAN S. FREDERICKS, ESQ.

One Rodney Sq.

Wilmington, DE 19899

For Micro Electronics: Wharton, Aldhizer & Weaver, PLC

By: WHITNEY J. LEVIN, ESQ.

125 S. Augusta Street

Suite 2000

Staunton, VA 24401

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@optonline.net

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

APPEARANCES (CONT'D):

For Creditors Committee: Tavenner & Beran

BY: LYNN TAVENNER, ESQ. 20 North Eighth Street

Second Floor

Richmond, VA 23219

For Assurant: Hunton & Williams

BY: J.R. Smith, Esq.

Riverfront Plaza, East Tower

951 East Byrd Street Richmond, VA 23219

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For Assurant: BY: RAUL CUERVO, ESQ.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

2

5

8

10

11

15

16

19

20

21

22

THE CLERK: All rise. The Court is now in session. 2 Please be seated and come to order. In the matter of Circuit City Stores, Inc. hearing on Items 1 through 3 as set out on debtor's agenda.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Fredericks. 6 apologize that we didn't get started promptly at one o'clock, but we ran over on the nine o'clock docket from this morning.

MR. FREDERICKS: No problem, Your Honor. Thank you very much for fitting us in this afternoon. This is a special afternoon. And good afternoon, as well.

We have three matters on the agenda. The first 12 matter will be resolved as soon as the third is approved, which the first matter being Federal Warranty a/k/a Assurant's motion to compel that was filed way back in February that has been continued since then.

The second matter is the motion to shorten. have been no objections to that and we request that Your Honor 18 enter an order approving that motion.

THE COURT: Any party wish to be heard on the debtor's motion to shorten time? All right, that motion will be granted.

MR. FREDERICKS: Thank you, Your Honor. The third 23 matter on the agenda is a two-part motion. It's in one part 24 it's a motion to approve three settlement agreements. And then as a second basis for relief one of the settlement agreements

is an -- will be assumed in accordance with the settlement $2 \parallel$ agreement on kind of a limited and modified basis.

3

8

9

10

13

15

16

18

20

24

25

4

But before I get there, Your Honor, I just kindly 4 would like to give you a little bit of background with respect $5 \parallel$ to how we came to this motion and what it resolves. Basically the debtors in connection with their consumer electronics business had a warranty business whereby they sold consumer warranties. They were basically -- once a warranty was sold there were kind of three elements of that warranty.

One of them was the underlying party that would be 11 the obligor or the insurer of the obligations. The second was the party of the claims administrator. And the third was kind of a servicer of the warranty business. The person who would actually do the work associated with fixing the products and things like that.

In all cases -- the debtors had basically two relationships here. One of them was with a company referred to as the AON Group SPI or the Warranty Group. That's all kind of interchangeable. You know one entity. I'll probably refer to 19 them as the Warranty Group. They were kind of the debtor in the 90s and in the early 2000s. They were the company that insured the debtors, what we called -- what the debtors called brown goods which are kind of the traditional consumer electronic stuff, TVs, stereos, things like that.

They also in the early 2000s entered into a

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

1 relationship with GE Assurant to do computer warranties and $2 \parallel$ computer related products. In all of these circumstances, AON or Assurant GE served as the obligor. Circuit City would serve as the kind of claims administrator and then Circuit City or $5\parallel$ another party would serve as the servicer, depending on timing, 6 you know, that would vary.

At certain times GE in its similar or in a separate capacity served as a subcontractor to Circuit City and serviced some of the claims under the AON or the warranty agreement. Beginning in the early 2000s Circuit City transitioned its warranty business away from Assurant or away from the Warranty Group and to Assurant in full, and with that they stopped selling brown goods warranties under the Warranty Group agreement and started selling them under a new agreement with GE Assurant.

Basically those relationships continued. Circuit City continued to serve as the claims administrator and servicer on the Warranty Groups up until the -- Warranty Group agreements up until the petition date and continued to sell new warranties up until and after the petition date on the Assurant agreements.

With the liquidation, obviously they needed to wind 23 down these affairs. From Circuit City's perspective there were 24 two main things that they viewed that were owed to them. 25∥ was unpaid amounts due for claims that have been serviced by

6

7

8

9

13

15

19

20

24

25

1 \parallel the company, by the obligor and then what was sometimes called $2 \parallel$ a commission or -- sometimes it is referred to basically as a commission of what will ultimately come out of the pot of money that is set aside to pay claims. Circuit City believed in both $5\parallel$ instances the amounts they would get back from the Warranty Group and the amounts from Assurant would be significant.

Once all the claims had been processed, obviously both parties disagreed and in some instances thought it would actually be a negative number in the end. So that's kind of the framework where settlement discussions started. settlement started early with Assurant. They were -- there 12 were various impediments including the intellectual property sale early on. We had to reach a resolution with Assurant there to protect their rights to the warranties under the settlement. And then ultimately we had to bring in GE and the Warranty Group because -- to make sure that all the claims were resolved and the debtor's estates could just move on from their 18 warranty business.

Ultimately we reached three settlements. The Warranty Group settlement is very straightforward. Warranty Group is basically paying the debtor's estates \$23,250,000. There is a mutual release going both ways for essentially claims related to the agreements whether known or unknown. It's a fairly standard release.

The GE agreement is also fairly straightforward.

1 Essentially GE reached a separate agreement with Assurant to $2 \parallel \text{resolve}$ any issues they had. We are not, you know, aware of 3 what that settlement was. But in conjunction with that, GE was 4 willing to release its claims against the estate, which were 5 filed in the amount north of \$29 million. They were originally 6 filed as secured claims. They were under objection so we reclassified them unsecured. They've waived those claims in full. There is a similar mutual release for things related to this relationship.

8

9

10

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

Then there is the agreement with Assurant. 11 agreement has multiple parts. There is -- under the agreement 12∥ there is a what I'll call, kind of a lump payment to Circuit City in the amount of \$46 million, but it's subject to certain offsets.

One of those offsets includes the amount that we agreed to pay Assurant to resolve the GE related issues which is about, I believe it is \$4.25 million. And the other offsets 18 are amounts that the debtors owed Assurant for various things occurring post-petition including warranty sales. It results in a net payment at this time of approximately \$34.1 million. And then an escrow of \$3.5 million.

The escrow is set up to address the issues related to 23 the IP sale. Essentially Assurant wanted to ensure that if the people who bought the IP breached their obligations under the agreement, Assurant had some type of recourse against the

amount they were settling here. So it called for a one-year $2 \parallel \text{escrow}$. Basically in order for Assurant to be entitled to that money, they have to commence a lawsuit related to those IP sale issues before the expiration of the year period. If they do, 5 then the escrow will be released kind of once that litigation 6 is resolved. If no litigation is commenced, the money comes back to the debtor's estates.

In addition to that, Assurant has agreed to waive a claim that's north of \$25 million which was filed as an unsecured claim and Assurant will maintain a \$6 million unsecured claim that was largely related to the computer 12 warranty business.

8

9

13

18

19

21

There is also a mutual release in this agreement as well related to the various agreements in the relationship, the warranty relationship. The debtor's kept the committee apprised kind of throughout this process including all the way back to at the time of the IP sale. The committee has been, you know, kind of fully aware of the negotiations which have taken some time and I believe their counsel will tell you that they support the approval of the settlement agreement.

If Your Honor has any questions related to the settlement agreements, I am happy to answer them at this time. I would like to before we move forward with approval do a brief proffer of Mr. Markham who is acting CEO of the debtors for some of the factual findings in the order. But if Your Honor

1 has any questions about the settlement agreements or the $2 \parallel \text{relationships}$, I'm happy to address them now or later.

THE COURT: Under the SPI agreement, the \$23,250,000 4 that is being paid to the debtor?

MR. FREDERICKS: Yes.

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Okay. And then under the Assurant agreement, the total amount was \$46 million but the way that it breaks down, we've got \$34.1 million is being paid to the debtor and then the \$3.5 million is being escrowed which ultimately might be paid to the debtor depending on whether or not there are claims that Assurant needs to make back against 12 GE.

MR. FREDERICKS: Correct.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FREDERICKS: No, that Assurant needs to make back against the people who -- the 3.5 million is related to the IP sale. If the people, if you recall during the IP sale, we 18 reached an agreement where those parties who bought the intellectual property would not solicit warranty renewals or ask people to terminate their warranties and buy new warranties.

THE COURT: Right, right, right.

MR. FREDERICKS: Assurant wanted to protect those 24 future business dealings with the people who owned the $25\parallel$ warranties. If those parties breached those covenants and also

1 the provision in the order that restricts them from doing those 2 things, then Assurant would commence litigation and could recover this \$3.5 million back from us.

THE COURT: Okay, then there was another 4.2 million.

MR. FREDERICKS: That's coming off of the 46.

THE COURT: Off of the 46.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

19

20

21

22

24

MR. FREDERICKS: So when you get to the 34, it's net of the 4.25 million that we're paying Assurant to resolve GE related issues.

THE COURT: And in connection with that, GE is 11 waiving its \$29 million claim?

MR. FREDERICKS: Correct.

THE COURT: Got it. Okay, I think I understand 14 completely. You can proffer the testimony.

MR. FREDERICKS: Okay. Mr. Jim Markham is the acting 16 CEO of Circuit City. If called to testify -- he is present in the courtroom -- if called to testify, Mr. Markham would 18 testify to the facts set forth in the motion including the description of the debtor's warranty, the descriptions of the settlement agreement, as well as the satisfaction of the TMT Trailer Corp. standards.

In particular, Mr. Markham would testify that although the debtors believe they would likely be successful in litigation, they recognize there are risks. They believe that litigation would be costly. It would likely involve

4

8

11

12

14

15

25

significant fact and expert testimony concerning future claims $2 \parallel$ against the assets set aside to pay warranty claims.

Mr. Markham would also testify that it would likely involve, you know, complex commercial transactions with 5 multiple parties including those before the Court today on this settlement agreement. And ultimately Mr. Markham would testify that he believes the settlement is a fair and reasonable, is a sound exercise of the debtors -- or is an exercise of the debtor's sound business judgment and is in the best interest of the debtors, their estates and all other parties in interest.

That would conclude Mr. Markham's proffer.

THE COURT: Does any party wish to cross examine Mr. 13 Markham in connection with the proffered testimony? All right. The proffer is accepted.

MR. FREDERICKS: Thank you, Your Honor. With that, 16 unless -- the one additional matter is the Assurant agreement is not really titled a settlement agreement. It is titled a 18 modification to an assumption and ultimately termination of the 19 brown goods agreement. It was structured -- essentially what the debtors are doing is assuming the brown goods agreement as modified by the terms in this settlement agreement. And then ultimately after the payments take place the agreement will be terminated. So there is in addition to the other relief related to the settlements, there is relief requesting that the agreement be assumed.

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

22

23

25

MR. FREDERICKS: With that I respectfully request that Your Honor approve the settlement agreements and approve assumption of the brown goods agreement as modified.

THE COURT: Very good. Does any party wish to be heard in connection with the debtor's motions?

MR. CUERVO: Your Honor, this is Raul Cuervo for the Assurant Companies.

THE COURT: Mr. Cuervo, if we could wait, there are a number of people in the courtroom that are going to address and then I will come back to the people on the phone if that's okay with you.

MR. CUERVO: Thank you, Your Honor.

All right. Ma'am, you may approach. THE COURT:

MS. LEVIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. For the 16 record, Whitney Levin from Wharton, Aldhizer & Weaver and I represent GE. I just want to represent to the Court that I have reviewed the motion and the order and am in agreement with 19 it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.

Thank you. MS. LEVIN:

THE COURT: All right. Does any other party in the courtroom wish to respond to the debtor's motions? All right, 24 Ms. Tavenner.

MS. TAVENNER: Just briefly, Your Honor.

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

20

24

1 Tavenner appearing on behalf of the Official Committee of 2 Unsecured Creditors. I did want to advise Your Honor that Mr. Jeff Palmer, that's my co-counsel, is on the phone as well. 4 wanted to alert the Court to the fact that counsel's comments $5\parallel$ are indeed correct, that the committee has been apprised of the 6 negotiations throughout the process and believes that the ultimate resolutions that are before the Court today are in the best interest of the debtor's estate.

> THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Smith.

Good afternoon, Your Honor. J.R. Smith MR. SMITH: from Hunton & Williams on behalf of Assurant. Appearing on the phone, telephonically, is Raul Cuervo from Jordan Burt and I would defer to him. But I would also point out for the record, and I believe this is clear in the papers, that Assurant's unsecured claim in the amount of \$6 million will be deemed allowed.

THE COURT: All right. I've got that note. 18 very good. Mr. Cuervo, do you wish to respond now at this 19 time?

MR. CUERVO: Yes, Your Honor. I just want to clarify something that I think I may have misheard. But the \$3.5 million that is going to be placed in the custodial account comes from the 34 million, 34.1 million, and I just wanted to clarify that. But other than that, I may have misheard that, and I just want to concur with the comments made in the court.

This was clearly an arms length transaction. It took nine 2 months to get it done and I think it's in everybody's best interest.

THE COURT: All right. When I was looking at the $5\parallel$ papers, it looked to me like it said 3.5 million to be deposited in a custodial account, open for an escrow account for a net initial lump sum profit sharing payment of 34,140,896. So I'm looking at Page 17 of the motion.

MR. CUERVO: Well, Your Honor, if you look at 3.2 of the agreement, Assurant shall pay to Circuit City 46 million less, and then it has A, B and C, and C includes the 3.5 million. I would ask the debtor to clarify that.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

MR. FREDERICKS: Your Honor, if I could have a moment to just look at the settlement agreement. I believe the way that it is -- if I'm reading from 3.2 of the settlement agreement, I believe it is 46 million is what is defined as the 18 profit sharing amount.

> THE COURT: Right.

3

4

8

9

11

12

13

14

19

20

23

24

MR. FREDERICKS: Less a settlement amount of approximately 4.1 million, less 4.25 million which is to GE, less 3.5 million which results in an initial lump sum payment of 34 million.

MR. CUERVO: I'm mistaken, Your Honor, I'm sorry. 25 is 46 million and those amounts subtracted from 46 million are

34 million.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

14

19

20

22

23

THE COURT: All right. Good because that's the way I was reading it.

MR. CUERVO: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I misread it.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. All right.

Anything further, Mr. Cuervo?

MR. CUERVO: That's all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Does any other party on the phone wish to respond to the debtor's motion? All right. I believe, Mr. Fredericks, that we've resolved any concerns of any of the parties present, that there are no objections to the debtor's motion. So, the Court will approve 13 the motions.

MR. FREDERICKS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. There is one additional item related to this. There is some, and part of asking for this hearing was there is some time sensitivity. We need to actually have the agreement become $18 \parallel$ final before the end of the month so that funds can move before September 30th. If it's possible, I believe the order may have already been boxed, but if it is at all possible to have the order docketed today, the debtor's would greatly appreciate that.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. I will look for 24 that as soon as I get out of the courtroom. And if it is tendered, then I can certainly get it entered today. If it is

1 not, then put through the BOPS system and please make sure it 2 gets done as quickly as possible.

MR. FREDERICKS: We will do that. Thank you, Your $4 \parallel$ Honor. I know next week is the disclosure statement hearing. 5 I don't know if Your Honor has any questions with respect to 6 that at this time. Otherwise, we can certainly address all those things on Tuesday.

THE COURT: Well, I have some questions. I think they are probably best reserved for next Tuesday when we have the hearing because I have another hearing I've got to go into right now and I'm afraid if I start getting into the disclosure statement, it wouldn't be fair to some of the other folks in the courtroom. I'll look forward to that hearing.

Now, also, with the approval of the settlement, that resolves matter number one that was on the docket.

MR. FREDERICKS: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Any other matters that 18 we need to take up then in Circuit City this afternoon?

MR. FREDERICKS: I don't believe so. Thank you very much, Your Honor.

22

21

3

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE I, LYNN SCHMITZ, a certified electronic transcriber, 3 certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best 4 of the transcriber's ability, from the official electronic 5 sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled 6 matter. /s/ Lynn Schmitz September 30, 2009 9 Lynn Schmitz 10 J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.